

#### **ANDRITZ GROUP**

# DEVELOPMENT OF QUENCHING PROCESS RECIPE USING SIMULATION

#### J. KADKHODAPOUR Product Manager

OCTOBER 2024



**ENGINEERED SUCCESS** 

INSERT CHAPTER OVERVIEW		
01	PROBLEM STATEMENT	
02	DATA FROM JMATPRO	
03	SIMULATION BY COMSOL	
04	<b>RESULT VALIDATION</b>	
05	CONCLUSION	

# SYSTEM DESCRIPTION



Simulation problem definition





### SYSTEM DESCRIPTION









# **PROBLEM STATEMENT**





9 / ANDRITZ METALS

Distance From Cooling End D (mm)

### HARDNESS VALUE IN EN IRS-R-19/93 STANDARD

#### Test for measurement of hardness

- The difference between extreme hardness values within a batch shall not exceed 30 BHN.
- The microstructure of wheel shall be "Fine Pearlite structure with ASTM grain size 6 or finer."

Tensile strength N/mm <sup>2</sup>	Yield Strength N/mm <sup>2</sup>	Minimum Elongation Percentage Gauge Length: 5.65 $\sqrt{So}$	Hardness range BHN	Minimum Impact strength in Joules at +20 °C.
See position 1	l of figure 1			
820 - 940	≥ 520	14	241 to 320	Average value : 17 Individual value: 12





1	PROBLEM STATEMENT	
2	DATA FROM JMATPRO	
3	SIMULATION BY COMSOL	
4	RESULT VALIDATION	
5	CONCLUSION	

# **INSERT CHAPTER OVERVIEW**



### SIMULATION FLOW AND REQUIRED DATA



Standard Test for Hardenability

Jominy Test: based on ISO 642 is what we want to focus on and validate by simulations results



# CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS AND HARDENABILITY

#### Experimental Data for the 1045 Steel



Materials & Design

CrossMark

# **CHEMICAL COMPOSITIONS AND TTT DIAGRAM**







01	PROBLEM STATEMENT
02	DATA FROM JMATPRO
03	SIMULATION BY COMSOL
04	

# **INSERT CHAPTER OVERVIEW**

# **COMSOL SIMULATION FLOW**



14 / ANDRITZ METALS

# **MODEL BOUNDARY CONDITIONS**







15 / ANDRITZ METALS

NSERT CHAPTER OVERVIEW			
01	PROBLEM STATEMENT		
02	DATA FROM JMATPRO		
03	SIMULATION BY COMSOL		
04	<b>RESULT VALIDATION</b>		
05	CONCLUSION		

# **COMSOL SIMULATION RESULTS**





#### AUSTENATIZING TEMPERATURE EFFECT







**18 / ANDRITZ METALS** 

### **MARTENSITE PHASE FRACTION**







Martensitic microstructures for Distance from quenched end: 1.6 mm. Etching: Nital 3%.

#### **BAINITE PHASE FRACTION**







Microstructures for Distance from quenched end: 4.8 mm. Etching: Nital 3%.

#### **PEARLITE PHASE FRACTION**







Microstructures for Distance from quenched end: 12.7 mm. Etching: Nital 3%.

#### **FERRITE PHASE FRACTION**







Microstructures for Distance from quenched end: 12.7 mm. Etching: Nital 3%.

INSERT CHAPTER OVERVIEW		
01	PROBLEM STATEMENT	-
02	DATA FROM JMATPRO	
03	SIMULATION BY COMSOL	
04	RESULT VALIDATION	
05	CONCLUSION	

#### CONCLUSION

- The combination of JMatPro and COMSOL can well predict the hardness of material after quenching.
- Some further parametric study is required to be sure about the values of different phases from simulation.
- The validated results can be used to design process for railway quench.



# ANDRITZ Stays Hot On Your Trail To Uncover The Coolest Solutions!



**ENGINEERED SUCCESS**