## **Growth of Transient Quantum Mechanical Dirac Wave Functions Thru electric or Magnetic Fields**

Anthony J. Kalinowski<sup>1</sup> 1. Consultant/ 4 Greentree Drive, East Lyme, CT and 06333

**Introduction**: Find the relativistic quantum mechanics steady state wave function  $\Psi_m(x,y,z,t)$  as a solution to the Dirac equations with pre-existing magnetic and electric potentials  $\bar{A}$ ,  $\phi$ . The probability density,  $\rho$ , of a particle's location is given by  $\rho = \sum |\Psi_m|^2 m = 1..4$ 

**Computational Method**: The EM Dirac equations [1] for the behavior of a particle of mass *m* with M=mc/ $\hbar$ , c=light speed,  $\hbar$ =Planck's constant,  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}=\bar{\mathbf{A}}e/\hbar$ ,

vs x',y' and is shown for 2 values of electric field strength parameter  $\alpha_E = \{.0, -0.04\}$ . Figs.(3a-b) compare Exact re $\Psi_4$  S.S. limit vs FEM @ t'=t/T<sub>D</sub>=12 for **E**' field off (i.e.  $\alpha_E=0$ ).



@ t'=12 Fig. (3c) shows the effect of  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}'$  turned on where along x', the  $\Psi_4$  wavelength gradually expands opposing  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}' \otimes \mathbf{\theta} = \mathbf{0}^{\circ}$ & compresses in-line with  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{X}}' \otimes \theta = 180^{\circ}$  while passing thru the **E** field. Fig. (3d) shows the effect of a different  $\beta$ =.75 frequency parameter.

 $\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial\Psi_{1}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial\Psi_{4}}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial\Psi_{4}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial\Psi_{3}}{\partial z} + i\Psi_{1}(\Phi + M) \\ &+ i(i\mathbf{A}_{y}\Psi_{4} - \mathbf{A}_{z}\Psi_{3} - \mathbf{A}_{x}\Psi_{4}) = 0 \end{aligned}$ 

 $\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial \Psi_3}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \Psi_4}{\partial z} + i\Psi_2(\Phi + M)$  $+i(A_{z}\Psi_{4}-\mathbf{A}_{x}\Psi_{3}-\check{i}\mathbf{A}_{y}\Psi_{3})=0$ 

 $\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \Psi_{3}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Psi_{2}}{\partial x} - i\frac{\partial \Psi_{2}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \Psi_{1}}{\partial z} + i\Psi_{3}(\Phi - M)$  $+i(i\mathbf{A}_{y}\Psi_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{z}\Psi_{1}-\mathbf{A}_{x}\Psi_{2})=0$ 

 $\frac{1}{c}\frac{\partial \Psi_4}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial x} + i\frac{\partial \Psi_1}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial \Psi_2}{\partial z} + i\Psi_4(\Phi - M)$  $+i(\mathbf{A}_{z}\Psi_{2}-\mathbf{A}_{x}\Psi_{1}-i\mathbf{A}_{y}\Psi_{1})=0$ 

COMSOL'S "General-Form PDE". <sup>(1)</sup> When the wave vector **k** is in the xy plane,  $\partial \Psi_m / \partial z$  terms drop out and the 1st & 4th eqs. decouple, where  $\Psi_1, \Psi_4$  are solved alone.

 $\Phi = e\phi/c\hbar$ , e=charge: are solved with

Results: • Fig.1 <u>PW in Magnetic & Field</u> below validates the  $\Psi_n = \Psi_{on} e^{-i\omega' t'}$  end driven Wave Guide COMSOL FEM↔Mathematica *Exact* propagation vs x'=x/



 $-\Lambda \Lambda \Lambda$ 

 $\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \mathbf{X'} \rightarrow & 5 \end{array}$ 

 $\lambda_D$  and is shown for 3 values of magnetic field strength parameter  $\alpha_{\rm B}=\{.0,$ ••• Exact S.S. -0.03,+0.03}.The  $\dot{\Lambda}_{im}\Psi_{1} \qquad \alpha_{B} = .00$  $\beta = .95$ magnetic **B**' field  $\alpha_{\rm B} = .00$  $\beta_{\rm B} = .95$ effect gradually  $\dot{\Lambda}$  re $\Psi_{4}$   $\alpha_{B} = -.03$  $\beta_{B} = .75$ increases the  $\lambda'_{A}$ S.S.---  $\alpha_{B} = .00$  $\beta = .75$ slit detail spatial wave length  $\begin{array}{c} \circ \circ \circ \alpha_{\mathsf{B}} = +.03\\ \beta^{\mathsf{B}} = .95 \end{array}$ and p probability density vs +x'.

a) initialize free field

₽.15

@ t'=16

 $\P \Psi_{W}$ 

0.5**E'** 1**E'** 

@ t'=53

e)

s**E**' Legend

c) interference  $\downarrow \downarrow$ 

• Fig.4 <u>2 Slit Demo; Electric E' Field On</u> Particles fired at 2 slits, is a classic quantum mechanics demo, represented by a *free field*  $\Psi_n = \Psi_{on} e^{-i(x'k'_D - \omega't')}$ PW wave function incident upon the slits. Figs. (4ad) show the time step transient growth of the  $re\Psi_1$ component. Classical bands of constructive interference form while the  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}$  field is on except for two differences. The effect of the  $\mathbf{E}_{\mathbf{x}}$  field (with electric field strength parameter  $\alpha_{\rm E}$ =-0.02) is to: (1) curve the blade like Fig. (4c) bands compared to otherwise

-.15

+y'**< ∱** \*

 $\begin{array}{l} \alpha_{\rm E} = -.02\\ \beta^{\rm E} = .75 \end{array}$ 

enters **E**' field

-40

E' FIELD

b) wave



• Fig.2 <u>PW in Electric E' Field</u> below validates the  $\Psi_n = \Psi_{on} e^{-i\omega't'}$  end driven Wave Guide PW COMSOL FEM  $\rightarrow$  Mathematica FEM wave propagation vs x'=x/ $\lambda_D$ 



ability density vs +x'. Step  $\int$  shaped rise functions  $\equiv$  s.

• Fig.3 <u>CYL.Wave in Electric E' Field</u> upper right validates the  $\Psi_n = \Psi_{on}(\theta) e^{-i\omega' t'}$  inner radius driven cylindrical wave COMSOL FEM+EXACT wave propagation

d) wave prop-  $\psi_{inc}$ Fig.(4d)@t'=72. spokes curve  $q q \psi_{w}$ 

**Conclusions**: The General-Form PDE option successfully validated the EM transient Dirac Eqs. PW and CW wave solutions that resulted in growing spatial frequency and amplitude traveling waves. The classic 2 slit model produced EM influenced curved constructive interference bands and in some cases halted the forward progress of wave fronts.

**References:**1. P. Strange, Relativistic <u>Quantum</u> Mech., Camb. Univ. Press 1998

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2019 COMSOL Conference in Boston